

# UltraSuperMiracleSoraFinalAgentZ

An agent submitted to the ANAC 2025 SCM league

Sora Nishizaki, Takanobu Otsuka

Nagoya Institute of Technology, Aichi, Japan



# Outline

1. Introduction
2. Design and Strategy of UltraSuperMiracleSoraFinalAgentZ
  - 2.1 Basic Design Polcy
  - 2.2 Strategy as BUYER
  - 2.3 Strategy as SELLER
3. Experimental Result
4. Conclusion



# 1. Introduction

- In SCML, factory size and product types are fixed
  - Main cost factors:
    - Inventory holding cost (over-purchasing)
    - Shortfall penalty (delivery failure)
  - To maximize profit:
    - Avoid excessive buying and selling
    - Trade necessary quantities at appropriate prices
- Goal: Design *UltraSuperMiracleSoraFinalAgentZ* to achieve both profit maximization and risk management



## 2. Design and Strategy of UltraSuperMiracleSoraFinalAgentZ

### • 2.1 Basic Design Policy

- Reliability score = success rate  $\times$  price evaluation
- Factors considered:
  - Inventory state
  - Delivery deadlines
  - Production capacity
  - Time-dependent concession strategy
- Priority: avoid shortfall penalties  $>$  minimize storage costs



## 2. Design and Strategy of UltraSuperMiracleSoraFinalAgentZ

- **2.2 Strategy as BUYER**

- Early stage (~15% steps): actively procure raw materials
- Later stage: restrict purchases to reduce inventory costs
- Reject long-term delivery contracts ( $> 7$  steps ahead)
- Partner selection: prioritize high-trust partners
- Flexible acceptance if inventory is insufficient



## 2. Design and Strategy of UltraSuperMiracleSoraFinalAgentZ

- **2.3 Strategy as SELLER**

- Compute max safe sales today() based on inventory, supply, and production lines
- Conservative contracts to avoid shortfall penalties
- Dynamic concession strategy:
  - Early stage → aim for favorable prices
  - Later stage → sell-out strategy (accept lower prices)
- Contract evaluation considers: delivery time, quantity, inventory, production, trust score



# 3. Experimental Result

- Settings:
  - Steps = 30, Processes = 3, Configs = 4, Repetitions = 3
- Results:
  - Profit  $\geq 1.0$  in all cases
  - Always outperformed baselines (SyncRandomStdAgent, RandomOneShotAgent)



# 3. Experimental Result

Result Table1

Experient	MyAgent	SyncRandomStdAgent	RandomOneShotAgent
1	1.004	0.792	-0.071
2	1.003	0.937	0.438
3	1.139	0.937	0.097
4	1.008	0.783	0.221
5	1.232	0.843	-0.189
Average	1.077	0.859	0.099





# 4. Conclusion

- Proposed agent dynamically adapts strategy based on:
  - Role (BUYER / SELLER)
  - Inventory status
  - Delivery timing
  - Partner reliability
- Consistently secured stable profits across all settings
- Outperformed baseline agents in every experiment
- Effectiveness of role-dependent and dynamic negotiation strategies confirmed

